# Eulerian Polynomials of Digraphs

Sam Spiro, Rutgers University

Joint with Kyle Celano and Nicholas Sieger

# Permutation Statistics

# Permutation Statistics

A *descent* of a permutation $\sigma$ on the set $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is an index $i \in [n-1]$ such that $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$. An *inversion* is a pair of integers $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.

$$\sigma = 23154$$

$$\mathrm{Des}(\sigma) = \{2, 4\}, \ \mathrm{Inv}(\sigma) = \{(1,3), (2,3), (4,5)\}$$

# Permutation Statistics

A *descent* of a permutation $\sigma$ on the set $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is an index $i \in [n-1]$ such that $\sigma(i) > \sigma(i+1)$. An *inversion* is a pair of integers $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.

$$\sigma = 23154$$

$$\mathrm{Des}(\sigma) = \{2, 4\},\ \mathrm{Inv}(\sigma) = \{(1,3), (2,3), (4,5)\}$$

The generating functions

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{des}(\sigma)} \quad M_n(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)}$$

are called Eulerian polynomials and Mahonian polynomials, respectively.

# A Common Generalization

# A Common Generalization

A *permutation* of an $n$-vertex digraph $D = (V, E)$ is a bijection $\sigma : V \to [n]$. A *descent* of such a permutation is an arc $u \to v$ such that $\sigma(u) > \sigma(v)$.






# A Common Generalization

## Claim (Foata-Zeilberger)

*If $D = \overrightarrow{P}_n$, then $D$-descents are exactly descents. Similarly $D = \overrightarrow{K}_n$ corresponds to inversions.*




(a) $\mathrm{des}(23154) = 2$




(b) $\mathrm{inv}(23154) = 3$

# A Common Generalization

**Claim (Foata-Zeilberger)**

*If $D = \overrightarrow{P}_n$, then D-descents are exactly descents. Similarly $D = \overrightarrow{K}_n$ corresponds to inversions.*




(a) $\mathrm{des}(23154) = 2$ (b) $\mathrm{inv}(23154) = 3$

For a digraph $D = (V, E)$, we define

$$A_D(t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_D} t^{\mathrm{des}_D(\sigma)}. \quad (1)$$

In particular, $A_{\overrightarrow{P_n}}(t) = A_n(t)$ and $A_{\overrightarrow{K_n}}(t) = M_n(t)$.

# Main Results

**Question**

What (if anything) can be said about $A_D(-1)$?

# Main Results

**Question**

What (if anything) can be said about $A_D(-1)$?

$|A_n(-1)| = |A_{\vec{P}_n}(-1)|$ is the number of *alternating permutations*, i.e. those that go

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) > \sigma(3) < \cdots > \sigma(n)$$

# Main Results

**Question**

What (if anything) can be said about $A_D(-1)$?

$|A_n(-1)| = |A_{\vec{P}_n}(-1)|$ is the number of *alternating permutations*, i.e. those that go

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) > \sigma(3) < \cdots > \sigma(n)$$

$|M_n(-1)| = |A_{\vec{K}_n}(-1)|$ also counts something interesting.

# Main Results

**Question**

What (if anything) can be said about $A_D(-1)$?

$|A_n(-1)| = |A_{\vec{P}_n}(-1)|$ is the number of *alternating permutations*, i.e. those that go

$$\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) > \sigma(3) < \cdots > \sigma(n)$$

$|M_n(-1)| = |A_{\vec{K}_n}(-1)|$ also counts something interesting.

and the later being the number of *correct proofs of the Riemann hypothesis*[1].

[1] As of the time of writing.

# Main Results

**Claim**

*The value of* $|A_D(-1)|$ *depends only on the underlying graph of* $G$.

# Main Results

**Claim**

*The value of* $|A_D(-1)|$ *depends only on the underlying graph of* $G$.

With this in mind, for any graph $G$ we can define

$$\nu(G) = |A_D(-1)|$$

for any orientation $D$ of $G$.

# Main Results

**Claim**

*The value of* $|A_D(-1)|$ *depends only on the underlying graph of* $G$*.*

With this in mind, for any graph $G$ we can define

$$\nu(G) = |A_D(-1)|$$

for any orientation $D$ of $G$.

**Question (Kalai 2002)**

What can be said about $\nu(G)$?

# Main Results

**Claim**

*The value of $|A_D(-1)|$ depends only on the underlying graph of $G$.*

With this in mind, for any graph $G$ we can define

$$\nu(G) = |A_D(-1)|$$

for any orientation $D$ of $G$.

**Question (Kalai 2002)**

What can be said about $\nu(G)$?

For example, $\nu(P_n) = |A_{\vec{P}_n}(-1)|$ is the number of alternating permutations.

# Main Results

**Definition**

Given an $n$-vertex graph $G$, we say that an ordering $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an *even sequence* if each of the subgraphs $G[\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i]$ induced by the first $i$ vertices of $\pi$ have an even number of edges for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.


We claim that $\pi = (3, 1, 2, 5, 4)$ is an even sequence:

# Main Results

**Definition**

Given an $n$-vertex graph $G$, we say that an ordering $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an *even sequence* if each of the subgraphs $G[\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i]$ induced by the first $i$ vertices of $\pi$ have an even number of edges for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.


We claim that $\pi = (3, 1, 2, 5, 4)$ is an even sequence:

# Main Results

**Definition**

Given an $n$-vertex graph $G$, we say that an ordering $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an *even sequence* if each of the subgraphs $G[\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i]$ induced by the first $i$ vertices of $\pi$ have an even number of edges for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.


We claim that $\pi = (3, 1, 2, 5, 4)$ is an even sequence:

# Main Results

**Definition**

Given an $n$-vertex graph $G$, we say that an ordering $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an *even sequence* if each of the subgraphs $G[\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i]$ induced by the first $i$ vertices of $\pi$ have an even number of edges for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.


We claim that $\pi = (3, 1, 2, 5, 4)$ is an even sequence:



# Main Results

**Definition**

Given an $n$-vertex graph $G$, we say that an ordering $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an *even sequence* if each of the subgraphs $G[\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i]$ induced by the first $i$ vertices of $\pi$ have an even number of edges for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.


We claim that $\pi = (3, 1, 2, 5, 4)$ is an even sequence:

# Main Results

**Definition**

Given an $n$-vertex graph $G$, we say that an ordering $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is an *even sequence* if each of the subgraphs $G[\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_i]$ induced by the first $i$ vertices of $\pi$ have an even number of edges for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.


We claim that $\pi = (3, 1, 2, 5, 4)$ is an even sequence:

# Main Results

Let $\eta(G)$ denote the number of even sequences of $G$.

# Main Results

Let $\eta(G)$ denote the number of even sequences of $G$.

## Claim

*A permutation $\pi$ is an even sequence for $P_n$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}$ is an alternating permutation. In particular, $\nu(P_n) = \eta(P_n)$.*

# Main Results

Let $\eta(G)$ denote the number of even sequences of $G$.

## Claim

*A permutation $\pi$ is an even sequence for $P_n$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}$ is an alternating permutation. In particular, $\nu(P_n) = \eta(P_n)$.*

## Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)

*We have $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ whenever $G$ is bipartite*

# Main Results

Let $\eta(G)$ denote the number of even sequences of $G$.

**Claim**

*A permutation $\pi$ is an even sequence for $P_n$ if and only if $\pi^{-1}$ is an alternating permutation. In particular, $\nu(P_n) = \eta(P_n)$.*

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*We have $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ whenever $G$ is bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*



# Main Results

**Question**

Does every graph satisfy $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$?

# Main Results

**Question**

Does every graph satisfy $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$?

**Claim**

*No!*



# Main Results



# Main Results

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*We have $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ whenever $G$ is bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*

# Main Results

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*We have $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ whenever $G$ is bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $G$ is a connected graph such that $\nu(G') = \eta(G')$ for all induced subgraphs $G' \subseteq G$, then $G$ is either bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*

# Main Results

**Question**

What is the maximum/minimum values for $\nu(G)$ (or $\eta(G)$) amongst graphs $G$ with some property?

# Main Results

**Question**

What is the maximum/minimum values for $\nu(G)$ (or $\eta(G)$) amongst graphs $G$ with some property?

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $T$ is a tree on $2n+1$ vertices, then*

$$n!2^n \leq \nu(T) = \eta(T) \leq (2n)!$$

*Moreover, equality holds in the lower bound if and only if $T$ is a hairbrush, and equality holds in the upper bound if and only if $T$ is a star.*






# Main Results

Observe that $\nu(G) = 0$ if and only if $-1$ is a root of $A_D(t)$.

# Main Results

Observe that $\nu(G) = 0$ if and only if $-1$ is a root of $A_D(t)$.

**Question**

What can be said about the multiplicity of $-1$ as a root of $A_D(t)$ in general?

# Main Results

Observe that $\nu(G) = 0$ if and only if $-1$ is a root of $A_D(t)$.

**Question**

What can be said about the multiplicity of $-1$ as a root of $A_D(t)$ in general?







$A_{D_1}(t) = (1+t)^3(1+t+11t^2+t^3+t^4)$ $\quad$ $A_{D_2}(t) = (1+t)(1+5t+16t^2+16t^3+16t^4+5t^5+t^6)$

# Main Results

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $D$ is a tournament on $n$ vertices, then* $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

# Main Results

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $D$ is a tournament on $n$ vertices, then* $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

**Question**

Is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ the largest $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1)$ can be?

Note that tournaments have the largest (potential) degree for $A_D(t)$.

# Main Results

**Question**

Is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ the largest $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1)$ can be?

# Main Results

**Question**

Is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ the largest $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1)$ can be?

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $D$ is an $n$-vertex digraph, then*

$$\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1) \leq n - s_2(n),$$

*where $s_2(n)$ denotes the number of 1's in the binary expansion of $n$. Moreover, for all $n$, there exist $n$-vertex digraphs $D$ with*

$$A_D(t) = \frac{n!}{2^{n-s_2(n)}}(1+t)^{n-s_2(n)}.$$

The only extremal examples we know are "impartial digraphs", which is weird.

# Proof Ideas

Recall that we want to show $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ for e.g. bipartite graphs.

# Proof Ideas

Recall that we want to show $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ for e.g. bipartite graphs.

**Claim**

*If $G$ has an odd number of edges, then $\nu(G) = \eta(G) = 0$.*

# Proof Ideas

Recall that we want to show $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ for e.g. bipartite graphs.

**Claim**

*If $G$ has an odd number of edges, then $\nu(G) = \eta(G) = 0$.*

**Claim**

*If $G$ has an even number of edges, then*

$$\eta(G) = \sum_{v} \eta(G - v).$$

# Proof Ideas

## Corollary

*If every bipartite graph with an even number of edges satisfies* $\nu(G) = \sum \nu(G - v)$, *then* $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ *for every bipartite graph.*

# Proof Ideas

## Corollary

*If every bipartite graph with an even number of edges satisfies* $\nu(G) = \sum \nu(G - v)$, *then* $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ *for every bipartite graph.*

## Lemma

*For any digraph,*

$$A_D(t) = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{t^{\deg_D^+(v)} + t^{\deg_D^-(v)}}{2} A_{D-v}(t)$$

# Proof Ideas

## Claim

*There exists a "natural" orientation $D$ for each bipartite graph $G$ which makes it easy to predict the sign of $A_D(t)$.*

# Proof Ideas

## Claim

*There exists a "natural" orientation $D$ for each bipartite graph $G$ which makes it easy to predict the sign of $A_D(t)$.*



# Proof Ideas

**Claim**

*There exists a "natural" orientation $D$ for each bipartite graph $G$ which makes it easy to predict the sign of $A_D(t)$.*




**Lemma**

*For such a digraph we have $A_D(-1) \geq 0$.*

# Proof Ideas

## Claim

*There exists a "natural" orientation $D$ for each bipartite graph $G$ which makes it easy to predict the sign of $A_D(t)$.*




## Lemma

*For such a digraph we have $A_D(-1) \geq 0$. In particular, when $e(G)$ is even we have*

$$\nu(G) = A_D(-1) = \sum_{v \in V(D)} A_{D-v}(-1) = \sum \nu(G - v).$$

# Proof Ideas

**Claim**

*There exists "natural" orientations for complete multipartite graphs/blowup of a cycles which makes it easy to predict the sign of $A_D(t)$.*






# Proof Ideas

## Claim

*There exists "natural" orientations for complete multipartite graphs/blowup of a cycles which makes it easy to predict the sign of $A_D(t)$.*




## Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)

*We have $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ whenever $G$ is bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*

# Proof Ideas

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $G$ is a connected graph such that $\nu(G') = \eta(G')$ for all induced subgraphs $G' \subseteq G$, then $G$ is either bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*

# Proof Ideas

**Theorem (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $G$ is a connected graph such that $\nu(G') = \eta(G')$ for all induced subgraphs $G' \subseteq G$, then $G$ is either bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*



**Proposition (Celano, Sieger, S. 2023)**

*If $G$ is a connected graph, then $G$ is induced odd pan-free if and only if it is either bipartite, complete multipartite, or a blowup of a cycle.*

# Open Problems

# Open Problems

**Question**

Can one give a combinatorial interpretation for $\nu(G)$ for arbitrary graphs $G$?

# Open Problems

**Question**

Can one give a combinatorial interpretation for $\nu(G)$ for arbitrary graphs $G$?

Note that $\nu(G) \leq \eta(G)$ in general, so perhaps this is some special subset of even sequences.

# Open Problems

**Question**

Can one give a combinatorial interpretation for $\nu(G)$ for arbitrary graphs $G$?

Note that $\nu(G) \leq \eta(G)$ in general, so perhaps this is some special subset of even sequences.

**Conjecture**

*If $G$ is an Eulerian graph, then $\nu(G) = \sum_v \nu(G - v)$.*

# Open Problems

We gave a non-combinatorial proof that $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ for all bipartite graphs $G$.

# Open Problems

We gave a non-combinatorial proof that $\nu(G) = \eta(G)$ for all bipartite graphs $G$.

**Problem**

For any bipartite graph $G = ([n], E)$ and orientation $D$ of $G$, construct an explicit involution $\phi : \mathfrak{S}_n \to \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that

(a) The set of fixed points $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ of $\phi$ is the set of (inverses of) even sequences of $G$, and

(b) $(-1)^{\mathrm{des}_D(\sigma)} = -(-1)^{\mathrm{des}_D(\phi(\sigma))}$ for all $\sigma \notin \mathcal{F}_\phi$.

# Open Problems

## Conjecture

*If $D$ is the orientation of a complete multipartite graph which has $r$ parts of odd size, then* $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1) = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor$.

# Open Problems

**Conjecture**

*If $D$ is the orientation of a complete multipartite graph which has $r$ parts of odd size, then* $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1) = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor$.

It is easy to show that $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), 0)$ corresponds to the minimum number of arcs one must reverse in order for $D$ to be acyclic.

# Open Problems

## Conjecture

*If $D$ is the orientation of a complete multipartite graph which has $r$ parts of odd size, then* $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), -1) = \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor$.

It is easy to show that $\mathrm{mult}(A_D(t), 0)$ corresponds to the minimum number of arcs one must reverse in order for $D$ to be acyclic.

## Question

Does there exist a digraph $D$ such that $A_D(t)$ has an integral root which is not equal to either $0$ or $-1$?

No such digraph exists on at most 5 vertices, and there exist digraphs with real roots of magnitude larger than 2.